DeepMind

AlphaFold 2

John Jumper^{1*} †, Richard Evans^{1*}, Alexander Pritzel^{1*}, Tim Green^{1*}, Michael Figurnov^{1*}, Kathryn Tunyasuvunakool^{1*}, Olaf Ronneberger^{1*}, Russ Bates^{1*}, Augustin Žídek^{1*}, Alex Bridgland^{1*}, Clemens Meyer^{1*}, Simon A A Kohl^{1*}, Anna Potapenko^{1*}, Andrew J Ballard^{1*}, Andrew Cowie^{1*}, Bernardino Romera-Paredes^{1*}, Stanislav Nikolov^{1*}, Rishub Jain^{1*}, Jonas Adler¹, Trevor Back¹, Stig Petersen¹, David Reiman¹, Martin Steinegger², Michalina Pacholska¹, David Silver¹, Oriol Vinyals¹, Andrew W Senior¹, Koray Kavukcuoglu¹, Pushmeet Kohli¹, Demis Hassabis^{1*} †

> ¹DeepMind, London, UK, ²Seoul National University, South Korea * Equal contribution † Corresponding authors: John Jumper (jumper@google.com), Demis Hassabis (dhcontact@google.com)

> > © 2020 DeepMind Technologies Limited

Protein folding at DeepMind

- DeepMind is on a long-term mission to advance scientific progress
- We're interested in solving fundamental scientific problems using AI
- Protein folding is such an important fundamental problem that is well-suited for Al
- We're thankful that CASP is providing such an ideal experimental setup to evaluate progress





Presenting the work of the AlphaFold team



+ Jonas Adler, Trevor Back, Stig Petersen, David Reiman, Martin Steinegger, Michalina Pacholska, David Silver, Oriol Vinyals, Koray Kavukcuoglu, Pushmeet Kohli, Demis Hassabis & with help from many others from across DeepMind

Protein example: T1064 (ORF8)



T1064 / 7jtl 87.0 GDT (ORF8, SARS-CoV-2)

Ground truth Prediction

7JTL: Flower, T.G., et al. (2020) Structure of SARS-CoV-2 ORF8, a rapidly evolving coronavirus protein implicated in immune evasion. Biorxiv.



Protein example: T1044 (RNA Polymerase)



6VR4: Leiman, P.G., et al. Virion-packaged DNA-dependent RNA polymerase of crAss-like phage phi14:2 (CASP target). (To be published.)

Ground truth **Prediction**



Long-chain-trained model trained after the submission

Individual domains



T1041



T1042

T1043



Inductive Bias for Deep Learning Models



Convolutional Networks (e.g. computer vision)

- data in regular grid
- information flow to local neighbours



Recurrent Networks (e.g. language)

- data in ordered sequence
- information flow sequentially



Graph Networks (e.g. recommender systems or molecules)

- data in fixed graph structure
- information flow along fixed edges



Attention Module (e.g. language)

- data in unordered set
- information flow dynamically controlled by the network (via keys and queries)



Putting our protein knowledge into the model

- Physical insights are built into the network structure, not just a process around it
- End-to-end system directly producing a structure instead of inter-residue distances
- Inductive biases reflect our knowledge of protein physics and geometry
 - The positions of residues in the sequence are de-emphasized
 - Instead residues that are close in the folded protein need to communicate
 - The network iteratively learns a graph of which residues are close, while reasoning over this implicit graph as it is being built







DeepMind

System Design

Inputs

Sequence databases

- UniRef90⁶ (JackHMMER³)
- \rightarrow BFD⁵ (HHblits⁴)
- MGnify clusters² (JackHMMER³)

Structural databases

- PDB¹ (training)
- PDB70 clustering (hhsearch⁴)

All publicly available data.

[1] Berman et al., Nature Structural Biology (2003) doi:10.1038/nsb1203-980
[2] Mitchell et al., Nucleic Acids Research (2019) doi:10.1093/nar/gkz1035
[3] Potter et al., Nucleic Acids Research (2018) doi:10.1093/nar/gky448
[4] Steinegger et al., BMC Bioinformatics (2019) doi:10.1186/s12859-019-3019-7
[5] Steinegger et al., Nature Methods (2019) doi:10.1038/s41592-019-0437-4
[6] Suzek et al., Bioinformatics (2015) doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu739

Visualisations:

The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0 Schrödinger, LLC. AS Rose, et al., Bioinformatics (2018) doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bty419









Embedding

templates

Trunk

Heads

© 2020 DeepMind Technologies Limited



MSA picture inspired by: Riesselman, A.J., Ingraham, J.B. & Marks, D.S., Nature Methods (2018) doi:10.1038/s41592-018-0138-4

Template embedding

- 4 templates used (from PDB70 clusters, searched with HHsearch^{1,2})
- Input features are sequences, side chains, and distograms
- Templates are processed in the same way as the residue-residue representation





Remmert, M., Biegert, A., Hauser, A., & Söding, J. (2012). HHblits: lightning-fast iterative protein sequence searching by HMM-HMM alignment. Nature Methods, 9(2), 173-175.
 Steinegger, M. et al. (2019). HH-suite3 for fast remote homology detection and deep protein annotation. BMC Bioinformatics, 20(1), 1-15.





 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)

3-D equivariant transformer architecture
 updates the rigid bodies / backbone
 Also builds the side chains



Target: T1041



 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)

3-D equivariant transformer architecture updates the rigid bodies / backbone

• Also builds the side chains





 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)

 3-D equivariant transformer architecture updates the rigid bodies / backbone
 Also builds the side chains

Iteration 3

Target: T1041





 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)





 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)





 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)





 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)





 \rightarrow

End-to-end folding instead of gradient descent

Protein backbone = gas of 3-D rigid bodies (chain is learned!)



Image: Dcrjsr, vectorised Adam Rędzikowski (CC BY 3.0, Wikipedia)



Refinement in structure module

 \rightarrow

Improves both accuracy and stereochemical quality





Target: T1041

6

Relaxation

- The end result of iterative refinement is not guaranteed to obey all stereochemical constraints
- Violations of these constraints are resolved with coordinate-restrained gradient descent
- We use the Amber ff99SB force field¹ with OpenMM²



Orange: pre-relax Blue: post-relax

[1] Hornak, V. et al. (2006). Comparison of multiple Amber force fields and development of improved protein backbone parameters. Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics, 65(3), 712-725.
[2] Eastman, P. et al. (2017). OpenMM 7: Rapid development of high performance algorithms for molecular dynamics. PLoS Computational Biology, 13(7), e1005659.



Knowing where we are right

IDDT-C α prediction from the last layer of the structure module



Confidence calibration on CASP14 chains Median absolute error: 3.3 LDDT-Cα



Five models per chain, coloured by chain Excluding T1044 domains, T1088



DeepMind

How AlphaFold understands proteins



Biological context

- > Computational structure prediction is typically underspecified
 - Oligomeric state, ligands, DNA-binding, experimental conditions, multiple conformations etc.
- Our networks implicitly models the missing context
- Solution (e.g. profile-only is still pretty accurate) Uses a variety of physical and evolutionary information (e.g. profile-only is still pretty accurate)



AlphaFold / Experiment

TBM-hard, 98.2 GDT



AlphaFold (monomer prediction x3)

FM/TBM, 85.9 GDT

Experimental structure

Interrogating the Network

© 2020 DeepMind Technologies Limited







T1038

6YA2: Bahat, Y., et al. First structure of a glycoprotein from enveloped plant virus. (To be published.)





T1038

6YA2: Bahat, Y., et al. First structure of a glycoprotein from enveloped plant virus. (To be published.)







6YA2: Bahat, Y., et al. First structure of a glycoprotein from enveloped plant virus. (To be published.)















T1080: Not yet in PDB





T1080







T1061: Not yet in PDB 3 copies of monomer prediction overlaid on crystal





T1061



T1061: Not yet in PDB 3 copies of monomer prediction overlaid on crystal







T1061: Not yet in PDB 3 copies of monomer prediction overlaid on crystal





6VR4: Leiman, P.G., et al. Virion-packaged DNA-dependent RNA polymerase of crAss-like phage phi14:2 (CASP target). (To be published.)







6VR4: Leiman, P.G., et al. Virion-packaged DNA-dependent RNA polymerase of crAss-like phage phi14:2 (CASP target). (To be published.)







6VR4: Leiman, P.G., et al. Virion-packaged DNA-dependent RNA polymerase of crAss-like phage phi14:2 (CASP target). (To be published.)





Manual interventions

We learned a lot during CASP14!



Domains arising from H1O44 (RNA polymerase):

- Genetics search of full chain but folded in 4 parts
- Resulting pieces were used as templates to build the full chain
- Afterward, we fine-tuned our models to handle very long chains
- Can now obtain this accuracy in a fully-automated way

> T1064 (ORF8)

- Five additional sequences were added to the MSA using NCBI Protein BLAST
- Tried more models to find a confident one

T1024 (Multidrug transporter)

• Clustered templates into different classes to get diversity of opening angle

Additional targets:

- Often the model diversity is low despite the error scores saying that there is error
- We would try to put older models in later positions to increase diversity



What went badly

- Hanual work required to get a very high-quality Orf8 prediction
- Genetics search works much better on full sequences than individual domains
- Final relaxation required to remove stereochemical violations



What went well

- Building the full pipeline as a single end-to-end deep learning system
- Building physical and geometric notions into the architecture instead of a search process
- Hodels that predict their own accuracy can be used for model-ranking
- Using model uncertainty as a signal to improve our methods (e.g. training new models to eliminate problems with long chains)



Wrap up & future outlook

- We have built a system that confidently predicts accurate structures for most proteins and knows when it is wrong
- \rightarrow As for CASP13^{1,2}, we'll publish a peer-reviewed paper
- > We're also working on providing broad access to our work
- Demis Hassabis will be giving a keynote on Friday about Using AI to accelerate scientific discovery
- Lots of exciting work ahead for the field: Complexes, conformational change etc.
- Thanks again to the CASP organizers, experimentalists and everyone on whose work we're building

[1] Senior, A. W., et al. "Improved protein structure prediction using potentials from deep learning." Nature 577.7792 (2020): 706-710.
[2] Senior, A. W., et al. "Protein structure prediction using multiple deep neural networks in the 13th Critical Assessment of Protein Structure Prediction (CASP13)." Proteins 87.12 (2019): 1141-1148.









© 2020 DeepMind Technologies Limited